Aerodigestive tract foreign bodies: an experience at a teritiary-care hospital

Showkat A Showkat¹, Nazia Mehfooz², Zafarullah Beigh¹, Omar M Shafi¹, Suhail A Patigaroo¹, Rauf Ahmad¹

¹Postgraduate Department of ENT, HNS Government Medical College, SMHS Hospital, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India. ²Department of Chest Diseases, Government Medical College, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India. Correspondence to: Showkat A Showkat, E-mail: Dr_suhail-jnmc@yahoo.co.in

Received May 7, 2015. Accepted May 23, 2015

Abstract

Background: Foreign bodies (FBs) in aerodigestive tract are a common concern for all ENT surgeons and chest physicians. While FBs in air passage are commonly seen in younger children, FB in food passage is encountered in children and adults alike. Foreign body is ingested accidentally but occasionally homicidal or suicidal. This study is about the experience of aerodigestive FBs at a tertiary-care hospital.

Objective: To reveal our experience of aerodigestive FBs in terms of (i) age/sex distribution of aerodigestive FBs; (ii) site of impaction/nature of aerodigestive foreign bodies; and (iii) different procedures done for aerodigestive foreign bodies.

Materials and Methods: This is a retrospective study done in the Department of ENT and Head and Neck surgery and Department of Chest Medicine In this study, ENT operation theatre registers and registers of bronchoscopy laboratory of chest medicine were analyzed for all the data about removal of aerodigestive FBs from April 2007 to March 2014.

Result: This study includes a total of 1125 foreign bodies, of which 878 were in digestive tract and 247 in respiratory tract. Bone chip was the commonest foreign body ingested, whereas whistle and seed were the commonest FBs inhaled.

Conclusion: FBs in an airway is an acute emergency. The general physician should know when to suspect an aerodigestive FB and should refer such cases as soon as possible to tertiary centers for removal. Rigid bronchoscopy, especially, and flexible bronchoscopy in few selected cases are the treatments of choice for tracheobronchial FBs. Cricopharynx is the most common site of FB lodgment in the digestive tract. Right main bronchus is the commonest site of lodgment of inhaled FB. Seed of dry fruits is the commonest FB inhaled.

KEY WORDS: Foreign body, bone chip, whistle

Introduction

Foreign bodies (FBs) in aerodigestive tract are a common concern for all ENT surgeons and chest physicians. The FBs in the aerodigestive tract are the important causes of

Access this a	Access this article online		
Website: http://www.ijmsph.com	Quick Response Code:		
DOI: 10.5455/ijmsph.2015.07062015322			

morbidity and mortality and pose diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. While FBs in air passage are commonly seen in younger children, FBs in food passage are encountered in children and adults alike.

FB is ingested accidentally but occasionally homicidal or suicidal. Most common FBs in children are coins, but marbles, button, batteries, safety pins, and bottle tops are also reported.^[1-3] In adults, common FBs are bones, dentures, and metallic wires. The FBs that have gone beyond the esophagus will pass uneventfully through the intestinal tract in 70%–80% cases. The FBs in tracheobronchial area pose additional diagnostic problem, which is all the more so in radiolucent FBs.

General practitioners should know when to suspect and refer a case of aerodigestive FB. The best method of removal

International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health Online 2015. © 2015 Showkat A Showkat. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

of an esophageal and tracheobronchial FB is endoscopicguided extraction.^[4–6] Both rigid and flexible bronchoscopes can attain more than 90%–95% success rate,^[7] but there is no consensus as to which is better. In our hospital, aerodigestive FBs are removed using hypopharyngoscope, easophagoscope, or rigid or flexible bronchoscope combined with optical forceps depending upon the site of FB.

Materials and Methods

This retrospective study was conducted in the Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Department of Chest disease, Government Medical College, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India. Departmental operation theatre and bronchoscopic laboratory registers were analyzed for all the data about the removal of aerodigestive FBs from April 2007 to March 2014. In our ENT and Chest Medicine departments, a strict record of all the procedures, both minor and major done either in local or general anesthesia, is being kept. The data were compiled as per the various parameters such as age, sex, site, nature of FB, and mode of removal.

Result

This study included a total of 1,125 patients with FBs, of which 878 FBs were in the digestive tract [Table1] and 247 in the respiratory tract [Table 2].

Cricopharynx was the most common site of FB lodgment in digestive tract [Table 3].

The most common intervention for the removal of digestive FBs was hypopharyngoscopy [Table 4].

Bone chip was the commonest FB ingested [Table 5]. Inhaled FBs were more commonly seen in male subjects [Table 6].

Right main bronchus was the commonest site of lodgment of inhaled foreign [Table 6], whereas seed and plastic whistle were the commonest FBs inhaled [Table 7].

Bronchoscopy alone is the treatment of choice for tracheobronchial FBs [Table 8].

Table 1: Age and s	ex distribution of	diaestive	tract foreign bodies

Age in years	Sex		Total	Percentage
	Male	Female		
0–10	230	165	395	44.98
11–20	54	60	114	12.98
21–30	56	48	104	11.84
31–40	44	42	86	9.79
41–50	46	35	81	9.22
51–60	30	24	54	6.15
61–70	26	7	33	3.75
71–80	8	-	8	0.91
>80	3	-	3	0.34
Total			878	100

Table 3	Ago and	cox distribution	of recoirctor	tract foreign bodies
Table 4	z: Age and	sex distribution	or respiratory	y tract foreign bodies

Age in years	Sex		Total	Percentage
	Male	Female		
0–5	108	80	188	76.11
6–10	13	12	25	10.12
11–15	10	12	22	8.90
16–20	04	02	06	2.42
>20	02	04	06	2.42
Total	137	110	247	100

Table 3: Site of foreign bodies in digestive tract

Site	Number of patients	Percentage
Base of tongue	7	0.79
Tonsillar pilar/fossae	9	1.02
Pyriform fossae	3	0.34
Posterior pharyngeal wall	1	0.11
Cricopharynx	693	78.92
Esophagus	165	18.79
Total	878	100

Intervention	Number of patients	Percentage
Oropharyngoscopic examination	20	2.27
Hypopharyngoscopy	693	78.92
Esophagoscopy	165	18.79
Total	878	100

Table 5: Nature of foreign bodies in digestive tract

Nature of foreign body	Number of patients	Percentage
Bone chip	348	39.63
Coin	338	38.49
Meat bolus	59	6.71
Denture	39	4.44
Tin lid	29	3.30
Fish bone	15	1.70
Alakaline batteries	9	1.02
Pins	7	0.79
Ear rings	7	0.79
Nails	5	0.56
Ring	3	0.34
Pendulum	3	0.34
Wire	3	0.34
Wood	4	0.45
Needle	2	0.22
Spring	2	0.22
Vegetable	2	0.22
Walnut shell	1	0.11
Pen cap	1	0.11
Leaf	1	0.11
Total	878	100

1552

Table 6: Anatomical site of foreign bodies in respiratory tract

Site	Number of patients	Percentage
Glottis/subglottis	6	2.42
Trachea	27	10.93
Right main bronchus	153	61.94
Left main bronchus	49	19.83
Right secondary bronchus	9	3.64
Left secondary bronchus	3	1.21
Total	247	100

Table 7: Nature of foreign bodies in respiratory tract

Organic foreign body	Number of patients	Percentage	Inorganic foreign body	Number of patients	Percentage
Bean	35	18.51	Pin	19	32.57
Peas	41	21.69	Whistle	26	44.82
Melon seed	4	2.11	Coin	1	1.72
Groundnut	20	10.58	Bead	5	8.62
Peanut	31	16.40	Nose ring	1	1.72
Maize	18	9.52	Rubber	1	1.72
Pea husk	1	0.52	Pen lid	2	3.44
Melon seed	2	1.05	Denture	1	1.72
Pumpkin seed	2	1.05	Mucus plug	1	1.72
Tamarind seed	3	1.58	Swing needle	1	1.72
Cherry seed	5	2.64	Total	58	100
Apricot seed	1	0.52			
Almond	6	3.17			
Almond shell	6	3.17			
Coconut	2	1.05			
Walnut shell	4	2.11			
Cashew nut	1	0.52			
Toffee wrapper	1	0.52			
Bone	1	0.52			
Nut shell	2	1.05			
Thorn	1	0.52			
Total	189	100			

Table 8: Interventions done for removing respiratory tract foreign bodies

Intervention	Number of patients	Percentage
Bronchoscopy	231	93.52
Bronchoscopy +	14	5.66
tracheostomy		
Thoracotomy	2	0.80
Total	247	100

Discussion

Management of aerodigestive FB patients was revolutionized by the technique and instruments developed by Chevalier Jackson in 1904. The mortality decreased from more than 20% to 2%.^[8] Of our 1,125 patients with FBs in aerodigestive tract, 878 (78.04%) FBs were in the food passage, while 247 (21.95%) FBs were in the air way. In their study, Hung and Lin^[9] found that 76% and 24.7% FBs in food

International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health | 2015 | Vol 4 | Issue 11 1553

passage and air passage, while $Brooks^{[10]}$ found them to be 80% and 20%, respectively.

Among the cases of FB in the food passage, the age ranged from 1 to 82 years. The most common age group in our study was 1-10 years with 44.98% of patients. Most FBs in food passage are ingested by children younger than 5 years with the peak incidence between 6 months and 3 years, as a sequel to natural proclivity to put things in their mouth.[11-13] Digestive FBs were located at cricopharynx in 693 (78.92%) of 878 patients with digestive FBs. This is owing to poor peristalsis, sphincteric action, and narrow diameter of cricopharynx. In one large series,[8] 50.5% FBs in food passage were also seen in cricopharynx, thus supporting our observation. Similarly, in yet another study,^[14] 83.5% of FBs were located at the cricopharynx. We observed bone chips [348 (39.63%)] and coins [338 (38.49%)] to be the commonest types of FB in food passage. In a study of 152 cases (104 children and 48 adults), 91 FBs (69%) were coins; Kamat et al.^[8] found fish bone (39%) as the commonest FB. The reason for bone chip to be the commonest FB in our study may be owing to the fact that meat is a very common food in this part of world.

In our study, in tracheobronchial group, the youngest patient was aged 6 months, while the oldest was 23 years. The FBs were encountered in the right main bronchus in 153 (61.95%) patients, whereas, they were in the left main bronchus in 49 (19%) of them. In most published series, the FBs tend to be localized in the right bronchial tree.[15] This right-sided predominance can be explained by the vertical nature of the right main bronchus, its larger diameter, the greater air flow through it, and the localization of the carina to the left of the midline of the trachea.[15] In our study, majority of the patients showed vegetable FBs, with peas being the common [41 (21.69%) patients]. Bhalodiya et al.[16] also found vegetable FBs, mostly seed (groundnut) in 38 of 42 patients, which is in similar to our observation. In our study, tracheostomy was done in 14 (5.66%) patients; most of these tracheostomies were emergencies as patients presented with severe respiratory distress with FBs in glottis/subglottis area. Two (0.80%) patients in our study were referred for thoracotomy, as FB (scarf pin) in these patients was distally located and could not be removed with bronchoscopy.

Cases with suspected FB in tracheobronchial tree can present with normal auscultatory and/or X-ray chest findings. A definitive or suspicious history of FB inhalation should be the most important factor in deciding for bronchoscopy in these patients. Performing an X-ray chest in these patients at the time of presentation has only a limited value in diagnosis and should never influence the decision for a timely bronchoscopy. Bhalodiya et al.^[16] found normal X-ray findings in 32 of 42 patients. They also observed that the time elapsed since inhalation was significantly related to normalcy of X-ray chest findings. Our study is an observational, retrospective study and, as such, has all limitations that apply to any retrospective study.

Conclusion

The FBs ingestion and inhalation is a well-known fact. Digestive tract FBs are removed by many centers but tracheobronchial FB removal is done at few centers, and our medical college is one of them. This study highlights the importance of these FBs. Tracheobronchial FBs are seen in children, especially, while digestive ones can be seen at any age. Rigid bronchoscopy, especially, and flexible bronchoscopy in few selected cases is the treatment of choice for tracheobronchial FBs. Seeds/dry fruits/nuts/whistles/small toys are commonly seen obstructing the airway in children; so, children should be trained to chew dry fruits properly and should not be allowed to handle small plastic toys that are given these days free with many dairy products and biscuits. Hypopharyngoscopy is the most common procedure done to remove digestive FBs as cricopharynx is the most common site of lodgment of such FBs.

References

- Webb WA, McDaniel L, Jone L. Foreign bodies of the upper gastrointestinal tract: Current management. South Med J 1984;77(9):1083–6.
- 2. Hawkins DB. Removal of blunt foreign bodies from the esophagus. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1990;99(12):935–40.
- Hamilton JK, Polter DE. Gastrointestinal foreign bodies. In: Gastrointestinal Disease: Pathophysiology, Diagnosis and Management, Sleisenger MH, Fordtran JS (Eds.). Philadelphia, PA: WB Saunders, 1993. pp. 286–92.
- H. Ekim. Management of esophageal foreign bodies: A report on 26 patients and literature review. E J Med 2010;15(1):21–5.
- Bane A, Bekele A. Management of gastrointestinal foreign bodies using flexible endoscopy: An experience from Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. East Cent Afr J Surg 2012;17(3):25–31.
- Roura J, Morello A, Comas J, Ferran F, Colome M, Traserra J. Esophageal foreign bodies in adults. ORL J Otorhinolaryngology Relat Spec 1990;52(1):51–6.
- Al-Sarraf N, Jamal-Eddine H, Khaja F, Ayed AK. Headscarf pin tracheobronchial aspiration: A distinct clinical entity. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2009;9(2):187–90.
- Kamat P, Bhojwani KM, Prasannaraj T, Abhijith K. Foreign bodies in aerodigestive tract—A clinical study of cases in the coastal area. Am J Otolaryngol 2006;27(6):373–7.
- 9. Hung W, Lin PJ. Foreign bodies in the air and food passages. AMA Arch Otolaryngol 1953;67:603–12.
- Brooks JW. Foreign bodies in the air and food passages. Ann Surg 1972;175(5):720–32.
- Bizakis JG, Prokopakis EP, Papadakis CE, Skoulakis CE, Velegrakis GA, Helidonis ES. The challenge of esophagoscopy in infants with open safety pin in the esophagus: Report of two cases. Am J Otolaryngol 2000;21(4):255–8.
- Karaman A, Cavusoglu YH, Karaman I, Erdogan D, Aslan MK, Cakmak O. Magill forceps technique for removal of safety pins in upper esophagus: A preliminary report. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2004;68(9):1189–91.
- 13. Sarihan H, Kaklikkaya I, Ozcan F. Pediatric safety pin ingestion. J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino) 1998;39:515–18

- Shivkumar AM, Naik AS, Prashanth KB, Hongal GF, Chaturvedi G. FB in upper digestive tract. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2006;58:163–8.
- Ramos MB, Fernandez-Villar A, Rivo JE, Leiro V, GarciFontan E, Bontana MI, et al. Extraction of airway foreign bodies in adults: Experience from 1987–2008. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2009;9(3):402–5.
- Bhalodiya N, Supriya M, Patel S. Foreign body inhalation in children: Decisive factors for carrying out bronchoscopy. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2006;58(4):337–9.

How to cite this article: Showkat SA, Mehfooz N, Beigh Z, Shafi OM, Patigaroo SA, Ahmad R. Aerodigestive tract foreign bodies: an experience at a teritiary-care hospital. Int J Med Sci Public Health 2015;4:1551-1555

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.